Minutes
Faculty Senate Meeting $⿰ ⿰ 三 丨 ⿰ 丨 三 25$
September 10， 1980

The Faculty Senate met on Wednesday，September 10 at $3: 30 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$ ．in the Senate Room of the University Center with Roland E．Smith，president，presiding．Senators present were Anderson，Bacon，Blapsdell，Cepica，Cochran，Collins，Dale，Denham，Dixon，Filgo， Gipson，Higdon，Hill，Horridge，Jebsen，Keho，Kellogg，Kimmel，Lee，McGuire，M\＆Pherson， Masten，Mogan，Nelson，Newcomb，Owens，Rude，Sanders，Schoen，Stewart，Tan，Trфub，Volz， Williams，Wilson and Wood．Clements，McDonald，M．Smith and Shine were absent because of university business．Harnis，Malloy and Morris were absent．

The guests were Len Ainsworth，Associate Vice President for Academic Affafrs； Ruthanne Brockway，Avalanche Journal；Pete McNabb，University Daily and David 中．Potter， Executive Committee，Texas Tech Univefsity Health Sciences Center．William Conroy and J．William Davis，members of the ad hoc Committee to Investigate Compliance of Texas Tech University with Southwest Athletic Conference Rules，attended．

SUMMARY OF BUSINESS CONDURTED
The Faculty Senate：
1．Heard the report of the ad hoc Committee to Investigate Compliance of Texas Tech University with Southwest Athletic Conference Rules

2．Approved a resolution calling for the restoration of the Athletic Council do its former，proper role of reviewing and recommending on all important issues relevant to the policies and administration of the intercollegiate athletic program and for three－year appointment terms for faculty members of the Athletic Council．

3．Approved a resolution calling for the investigation into the inadequacies df large lecture halls on campus and for the recommendation of remedial action．

4．Heard a report concerping University Committee appointments
5．Approved a slate of nominees presented by the Committee on Committees for various committees and counclis．

6．Heard a report on the meeting of Faculty Senate，AAUP，and TACT officers with President Cavazos．

7．Approved a motion thaf the Budget Study Committee be charged with the investigation of the financial situation of the Library．

8．Approved a motion reaffirming＂our commitment to faculty participation in the processes of definin and responding to the conditions of financial exigency．＂

9．Approved a motion calfing for the Tenure and Privilege Committee to report on the status of its recommendations concerning temporary faculty positions．
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Smith called the meting to order at 3:30 and asked that Senators introduce themselves. Smith intrфduced the guests and welcomed them to the meeting. He also announced that a parlianentarian has not yet been appointed and that the Senate is seven short of its fyll membership because of development leaves, leaves o and resignations.
I. MINUTES OF THE MAY 1,1980 MEETING

Bacon moved the Serate approve the minutes of the May 7,1980 meeting as distributed. The motion carried.
II. REPORT OF THE AD HCC COMMITTEE TO INVESTIGATE COMPLIANCE OF TEXAS TECH

UNIVERSITY WITH SOLTHWEST ATHLETIC CONFERENCE RULES - Conroy
Conroy explained that the Ad Hoc Committee reviewed relevant documents and correspondence and made numenous calls to former members of the Athletic Council. He then read the committee's report. Texas Tech University has not been in compliance with the provisions of Article III of the Southwest Athletic Conference Constitution, even in the most liberal sense, sinqe 1977. Since that time the frequency of council meetings has decreased and the meetings which have occurred have been mainly informational sessions consisting of briefings by the men's and women's athletic directors, plus touns of the facilities. The Athletic Council seems to have been removed from the main avenue of communication between the Athletic Department and the University administration. The committee recommended that the new President of Texas Tech University, Dr. Cayazos, be urged to restore the Athletic Council to its former, proper role of reviewing and recommending to him on all important issues relevant to the policies and the administration of the inter-collegiate athletic program. It further recommended that the length of faculty appointments to the council be returned to three years with staggered terms to provide continuity.

Wilson raised a question concerning the conflict between the three-year ferm and the one-year term stipulated by the Board of Regents. She explained that Presidett Cavazos would introduce restoration of the three-year term at the Friday, September 1中, meeting of the Board of Regents.
III. RESOLUTION REGARDING THE ATHLETIC COUNCIL - Jebsen

Jebsen moved that the Senate adopt the following resolution:
WHEREAS the Faculty Senate established, in
its meeting of March 22, 1980, a committee charged with determining whether Texas Tech has been in compliance with the rules of the Sofathwest Athletic Conference, and

WHEREAS that committee has completed its task and has reported that Texas Tech University has not been in compliance with the provisions of Article III of the Southwest Athletic Conference Constitution since 1977,
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Resolution regarding the Athletic Council continued.......
BE IT RASOLVED that the President of Texas Tech Unversity is hereby urged to restore the Athleti Council to its former, proper role of revi\&wing and recommending to him on all important issues relevant to the policies and administration of the intercollegiate athletic program, and

BE IT FYRTHER RESOLVED that the length of appointnent of faculty to the Athletic Council be restdred to three years' duration, with terms stagger\&d in order to provide continuity.

The motion to adopt the resolution passed without opposition.

## IV. RESOLUTION CONCERNING LARGE LECIURE HALLS - Smith

Smith spoke to his resolution oncerning large lecture halls, explaining that it was written in response $\phi$ complaints concerning the facilities. Cochran, Newcpmb, Jebsen, and Rude raised various questions concerning unnumbered seats, lack of control over heating and air conditioning, and lack of equipment. Ainsworth, noting that there are thirteen rooms holding 200 of more people, said the problem was related to media equipment and the building renovation reviews.

Wilson moved the S\&nate adopt the following resolution:
WHEREAS faculty members in several departments are required to hold large classes in lecture halls ip many locations on campus, and

WHEREAS numerous faculty members have complained about the inadequancy of some of those lecture halls with reqard to acoustical problems, blackboard space, the use of electric audio-visual equipment, physical comfort and external noises,

BE IT R\&SOLVED that the appropriate administrative officer of the university be encouraged to conduct an inveqtigation into the inadequacies of the large lecture halls on carnpus, and recommend that remedial action pe taken. Such investigation should include, but not be limited to, careful inspection and interviews wfth faculty members who have used those lecture halls ip the past.

The motion to adop the resolution passed with no opposition.
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V. REPORT ON PROBLEMS CQNCERNING UNIVERSITY COMMITTEE APPOINTMENTS - Smith

Recent lists of University Council and Committee appointments excluded a number of names and the Senate President has had inquiries as to why these committees have names missing. Smith explained that nominees were not made in time to appear in the University Council and Committee appointments but will appear in an update which will be issued shortly. Two other problems, however, exist. The four committees which requife special expertise (Biosafety, Protection of Human Subjects, Radiation and Laser Safety, and Warm Blood Animals) require the Chairperson of the Committee on Committees and the fice President for Graduate Studies and Research to determine the eligibility of faculty members to serve on these committees. A delay developed last spring, and administrative officials, becoming anxious to make appointments, declared these four committees "special committees" rather than standing committees since their purpose is for information. Thus faculty members appointed to these committees need not be nominated by the Faculty Senate. In addition, faculty members nominated by the Committee on Committees and approved by the sqnate to serve on the Academic Publications Policy Committee were not appointed to this committee because of a defay in communication of information to proper administrative officials. The appointments to the Academic Publications Policy Committee wer made without Senate approval.

Smith has spoken with administrative officials making these appointments protesting their actions, and has written letters to this effect to Jones and Cavazos. The action creates a problem for the Senate in that it undermines the Senate's constitution and one of its functions.

Considerable discussion followed, concerning the nature of and function $\phi f$ the four standing committees. Dixpn said he was unaware of the decision to make these ptanding committees "special commiktees" and that the Senate should not relinquish the fight to make appointments. Newcomb asked if appointments need to be monitored. Ainsworth pointed out the value of having a non-faculty lay person on the Biosafety Committee.

Dixon moved the Sen te recommend to the proper administrative officials that the responsibility for nominations of persons to serve on the four committees undef discussion be returned to the Commitfee on Committees for its nominations of persons to sqrve on these committees.

Schoen offered an anendment to change the word "recommend" to "demand".
Several Senators called for more diplomatic wording of the motion.
Kimmel proposed, as a friendly amendment, that the Faculty Senate "reming" the administration of the Senate's responqibilities. Wilson suggested substituting "remind the administration of the Senate's responsibilities which have been violated in the following manner (with the violations to be spelled out)." Schoen withdrew hi amendment at this time.

Troub suggested thak Article V. section 4 of the Senate Constitution adppted by the Board of Regents be alded to the qotion. Section 4 reads: "The President of the University may establish and define composition of ad hoc or standing committeas or councils of Texas Tech University, Texas Tech University School of Medicine, ad the Museum of Texas Tech University, or joint committee or councils of the above, and may
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Report on problems concerning university committee appointments continued.....
determine if members are to be elected or appointed. Texas Tech University fafulty members appointed to these standing (but not ad hoc) committees or councils shall be selected from a list of nominees for each committee or council provided by the Faculty Senate. The President of the University may specify the number of nominations to be supplied by the Faculty genate for each such committee or council position.

The position of chairpersion of the Athletic Council of the University shall not be open to nomination by the Faculty Senate."

Volz asked if the Hresident of the University has the power to change the nature of committees. Smith and Wilson indicated that he has the power but that there seemed to have been little reason for the changes under discussion.

The Senate approved the suggested amendments and also approved the motion which now read: "The Faculty Senate tion to the four committ (named above) resides with the Faculty Senate and pas been violated in the following manner (name the ways) and we request that the commetees be restored to their former status."

Rude moved to send the motion to the agenda committee for rewriting and presentation to the Senate in final form next month. The motion carried 19 in favor, 13 opposed. VI. REPORT ON MEETING WITH HARDWICK CONCERNING COORDINATING BOARD'S ACTIONS REGARDING COURSES, PROGRAMS, AND EFFECTIVENESS - Smith
Smith reported that the presidents of TACT, AAUP, and the Faculty Senate, along with other faculty members attended a meeting with Vice President Hardwick on July 30 , for a briefing on some of the things the Coordinating Board has been doing in the area of program evaluation. The Office of Academic Affairs plans to examine all University programs with the idea of eliminating some and improving others. Collins asked if any - faculty participation in planning the direction the University hopes to go in the next five to ten years is expected. The answer was no. Bacon asked if the Coordinating Board's "trade-off policy" meant that no course could be added without the deletion of another course. Ainsworth remined the Senate that programs, not courses, were under consideration and that any consideration of a program involved faculty participation at the departmental level byt not beyond. He said no new degree programs would be added without the dropping of existing programs. He stressed that new programs complete for existing resources. Sterart said there was a difference of opinion between the Staff of the Coordinating Board and its political members, and that the staff had had jput for Hobby's committee concerting salaries. Collins asked if faculty participation was expected in implementing the research areas Cavazos outlined in his recent letter.

Cochran moved that the Senate president refer to one of the standing stydy committees the matter of finding a pay for the Faculty Senate to participate in the University's process of reviewing programs, cфurses, divisions, and departments, the establishment of new research programs, and so forth.

## VII. OTHER BUSINESS
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Other business continued
to be approved by the Serate and forwarded to the proper administrative officers to fill positions now vacant on various committees and councils．The slate of noninees was approved and will be forwarded to the appropriate administrative officials．

The Senate approved Murl Larkin，School of Law，to fill a vacancy on the Faculty Status \＆Welfare Committee，a standing committee of the Faculty Senate．The Senate elected Paul Hanna，Art Iepartment，to serve on the Special Hearing Panel for renure and Privilege．

Smith reported on meeting with the President September 8，1980．Among the subjects discussed were finances in the broadest sense and，specifically，faculty salaries， merit raises versus across the board raises，the amount of money available，fipancial problems of the Library，and faculty morale which the President wants to discuss again later．

At this point，then was a lengthy discussion of the status of the Libraty finances and several suggestions of ways to improve the condidions were discussed．Collins voiced considerable concern aboyt the＂blanket order＂being in jeopardy with no stronk administra－ tive action meeting the rroblem．Newcomb questioned the spending of $\$ 300,000$ on land－ scaping of the Science Quadrangle and the installation of sprinkler systems when the Library financing is in crisis．Nelson favored telling the President of the scope of the problems． He voiced doubt that the Library is presently a priority concern．To the suggestion that the faculty contribute to help the Library，Wilson responded that if one solvel problems for the administration，it would come to expect it to continue to be solved in the same manner．Ainsworth said feople were not unaware of the Library difficulties and explained that some of the problem came from the insufficiency of formula funding．Collins and Nelson pointed out that the Library cancelled large numbers of periodicals subscriptions a few years ago and that statements that the blanket order covered $95 \%$ of faculty requests was misleading．Rude mentioned the problems of catching up on out－of－print bopks and periodicals．

Lee moved that the Budget Study Committee be charged with the investigation of the financial situation of the Library．The motion passed with no opposition．

Collins asked if the University had abandoned all efforts to save energy this summer．Several senators indicated that their buildings had not been cooled daring the summer．Keho indicated that construction during the summer had created some of the problems．

Stewart called attention to item 34 of the Faculty Senate correspondence．He objected to the use of the term＂faculty reduction＂in the event of financial exigency． He moved the adoption of the statement，＂We reaffirm our commitment to faculty participa－ tion in the processes of defining and responding to the conditions of financial exigency．＂ The motion carried with one abstention．

With reference to item A．I of the agenda，＂There was discussion of tempotary faculty and whether additional policies need to be developed as regards personnel who come into non－tenure track positiors．There was discussion，without action，of the need for limiting the number of temporary or non－tenure track positions and the possibilities of individuals filling those positions pplying for regular positions as they become availab1e，＂Rude moved that the Senate request from the Tenure and Privilege Committee a report on the
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status of its recommendations to the President, which the Senate heard at its meeting, regarding the elimination of temporary faculty positions and that the request that this report include any recommendations from the President on the
ast
Senate Tenure and Privilege Committee's recommendations, any information about change in stafus of persons who were last year on temporary contracts, and some type of overall picture of where temporary appointments occur within the University and what percentage of those persons on temporary contracts are women.

The motion carried.
Nelson moved that the Faculty Senate register its concern over the crisis of the Texas Tech Library and inform the President of the need for the University to divert available funding into the purchase of books and other materials for the Library.

The motion carried.
There was a brief discussion of the harassment by campus police of bicycfe riders on campus. Several senatprs discussed the matter briefly. Sanders agreed to dontact a member of the Campus Se\&urity and Energency Committee regarding the matter, meets with no success, bring the matter back to the Senate.

The meeting adjourred at 5:30 p.m.

